Using Gamification as a Collaboration Motivator for Software Development Teams: A Preliminary Framework

Flávio Steffens

MunDDos - PUCRS Porto Alegre, Brazil flavio.steffens@acad.pucrs.br

Christoph Treude DIMAP - UFRN Natal, Brazil ctreude@dimap.ufrn.br Sabrina Marczak MunDDos - PUCRS Porto Alegre, Brazil sabrina.marczak@pucrs.br

Leif Singer University of Victoria Victoria, Canada lsinger@uvic.ca Fernando Figueira Filho DIMAP - UFRN Natal, Brazil fernando@dimap.ufrn.br

David Redmiles Ban Al-Ani

University of California Irvine, USA {balani,redmiles}@ics.uci.edu

ABSTRACT

Gamification is the use of game elements in non-game context to engage and to motivate people to achieve goals. Its use is becoming very popular in software development organizations due to work being based upon human-centric and brain-intensive activity. This paper presents the topics of collaboration and gamification in the context of software engineering, and proposes a framework that identifies the most common collaboration issues that affect software development teams and how to apply game elements to motivate a change on their behaviors.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

CCS [Human Centered Computing]: Collaborative and Social Computing; D.2.8 [Software Engineering]: Metrics—complexity measures, performance measures

General Terms

Framework, Gamification, Collaboration

Keywords

Gamification, Game Element, Software Development, Team, Motivation, Collaboration, Issue, Empirical Evaluation

1. INTRODUCTION

A software development process requires creative discourse among team members to design and to implement a novel and competitive product that meets usability, performance, and functional requirements set by the customer [20]. In other words, software development demands a large amount of cognitive effort of those who are involved in it.

SBSC'15 November 4-6, 2015, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. ISSN: 2318-4132 son, but in general, software development is a collaborative activity with the participation of professionals that work together to produce quality code [5]. Team members must coordinate activities, define plans, execute tasks, and also communicate to create a software. But since software engineering has a high dependence on human factors (o g. communication trust building possi-

There is software that can be created only by one per-

human factors (e.g., communication, trust building, negotiation, etc), a large number of issues faced during software development is associated with people. Collaboration, in particular, plays an important role in determining the success of a software project [16].

Gamification is the use of game elements in non-game contexts [6], and its use became very popular in several areas but mainly in Marketing with several cases of behaviour changes and effectiveness reported over the last years [13]. Companies from many areas have started using it given its promise of helping them achieve their goals and to keep people engaged in their work [31].

Since collaboration plays an important role in software team activities and its nature of human factors can generate issues that can cause problems in the development process, it is important to find ways to foster this aspect and to motivate software teams to collaborate more efficiently.

This paper proposes a framework that identifies the most common collaboration issues that affect software teams, and how to apply game elements to minimize the impact of each issue. To do so, we first identified collaboration issues in software engineering literature in light of the 3C Collaboration Model [10] and then proposed which game elements can be used as a motivator catalyst to jump start behaviours in software teams and minimize such issues. Next, we conducted a preliminary evaluation of the proposed framework with experts in software development and in gamification.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the background on software development, collaboration and gamification. Section 3 presents the research methodology we followed in our study, including our research goal. Section 4 presents the preliminary version of the proposed framework defined based on literature. Section 5 describes the preliminary evaluation with experts on the topic. Section 6 concludes the paper with our final considerations and points out our next steps towards stabilizing the framework and using it in practice.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

2. BACKGROUND

This section presents background information about the main topics related to this work. First, we present a background information about software development and collaboration, and next we present the topic of gamification.

2.1 Software Development and Collaboration

Having people working in software development projects as teams is one of the best ways to produce good quality products and services. Teams can be defined as collectives who exist to perform tasks, share one or more common goals, interact socially, and maintain and manage boundaries [14]. Teams are embedded in an organizational context that sets boundaries, constrains the team actions, and influences exchanges with other units in the broader entity.

But given that software development is a knowledge-based activity that requires human interaction, researchers have been studying how human factors (e.g., trust and motivation) impact the progress of software development processes.

Motivation is reported to have the single largest impact on practitioner productivity and software quality management [3], so many companies are rethinking their strategies to motivate their employees.

Intrinsic motivation - the act of doing something because it is inherently interesting or enjoyable - is being discussed in recent years as a means to engage and motivate employees. Ryan and Deci [23] explain that intrinsic motivation results in high-quality learning and creativity. Pink [22] discusses the advantages of intrinsic motivation compared to the traditional external motivation of fear, money, and rewards.

Besides motivation, another human factor that is important to achieve success in a software development process is collaboration. Most modern businesses require their workers to establish collaborative relationships to achieve organizational goals [25]. Kusumasari et al [16] explain that collaboration and coordination in a software development project play an important role in defining the success of a software project. Treude, Storey and Weber [29] stated that research on issues related to communication, collaboration and coordination has increased significantly over the last decade because both industry and academia acknowledge the importance of team work in software development.

Collaboration can be seen as the combination of communication, coordination and cooperation [10]. Communication is related to the exchange of messages and information among people; coordination is related to the management of people, their activities and resources; and cooperation is the production taking place in a shared space. All of these concepts are connected to and interrelated with awareness, that is an understanding of the activities of others, which provides a context for one's own activities [28].

A model called the '3C Collaboration Model', originally proposed by Ellis et al [8] and later extended by Fuks et al [10] (see Fig. 1), is used to organize Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) tools and components [9] [11] according to their collaboration, communication, and coordination dimensions. This model was then used by Steinmacher, Chaves and Gerosa [28] to help categorizing papers on awareness. After studying the topic for a while they realized that it is easier to analyze issues and problems decomposed into each of the 3C dimensions separately than altogether; thus their study.

The three dimensions used in the 3C Model were described

as ontologies to guide team collaboration by Vivacqua and Garcia [30]. These ontologies describe a set of activities of a specific domain and its concepts. Also, Vivacqua and Garcia included another important dimension to their ontologies: group formation, which is necessary to take place before collaboration can happen, to understand why and how groups and teams are formed.

Given the above, we note how motivation and collaboration are important aspects for software development teams, influencing directly the quality, productivity and success of projects. Motivation drives the real desire of team members to accomplish their tasks with quality and productivity. The 3C Collaboration Model (communication, coordination, cooperation), plus awareness and group formation, are useful dimensions to identify and to evaluate collaboration issues.

2.2 Gamification

The widely spread definition of gamification is "the use of game elements in non-gaming contexts" [6]. Aspects of play and fun may have been incorporated in non-game activities before, but gamification represents a more ordered and aware approach.

Although gamification is based upon the use of game elements and mechanics, there is still no consolidated list or classification of these game elements in literature. For example, Dubois [7] reports that the most elementary gamification element-named challenge-consists of a reward mechanism that awards people in response to the accomplishment of certain activities that need to be encouraged [7]. Kumar et al [15] cite that points, badges and leaderboard are among the most used elements.

Zichermann [32] presents a comprehensive list while the Badgeville company [2] created a collaborative wiki in 2011 to list and to describe the most commonly used game elements. The list is currently composed by 31 game elements, which can be found online¹. Their description, as exemplified below, can help us to understand how to apply them.

- 1. Achievements: A virtual or physical representation of accomplishment. Badges can be earned from completing tasks/missions in gamification platforms.
- 2. Levels: A system, or "ramp", by which players are rewarded an increasing value for an accumulation of points. Leveling is one of the highest components of motivation for gamers.

¹BadgeVille Wiki have two resources for game elements available at http://bit.ly/BVGameMechanics and http://bit.ly/BVGameFeatures



Figure 1: The 3C collaboration model [10]

Business companies are seeking gamification as a tool to motivate and to engage employees in activities and tasks [1], to achieve goals [19], to change behaviors [26], and to keep people engaged in their work [31].

Researchers also found evidence for the impact of the use of gamification in software development environments. Singer and Schneider [26] proposed the gamification of a version control system to encourage Computer Science students to make more frequent commits. The results of the experiment revealed good practices and pointed to improvements that may help to achieve even better results. Lotufo, Passos and Czarnecki [17] proposed a work to improve bug tracking systems using game mechanisms, to encourage teams to increase the frequency and the quality of their contributions. As a result, they concluded that by applying a reputation and reward system, the improvements are readily accessible.

Moccozet et al. [18] did not focus on software development, but their work was one of the first studies that tried to understand how gamification and collaboration could work together. They created a gamified online community for students to improve the group work among them. They described how they gamified the platform and, as a result, stated how it encouraged students to contribute and collaborate more. Snipes, Nair and Murphy-Hill [27] conducted their study based on the idea that software development practices and tools are constantly evolving. They proposed an idea by adding game-like feedback to the development environment to help improve adoption of tools and practices for code navigation. They identified that most of the developers are interested in gamification.

Game elements can be used as a motivator to consolidate practices and change behaviors of people at work. Gartner predicts that by 2016, gamification will be an essential element for marketing, user loyalty and employee engagement [4], an important evidence that this is a promising theory that can also be used in software development industry.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Despite the fact that gamification became a trend in software engineering research in the last years, we did not find any study that addresses how game elements could foster collaboration in software teams. Besides the fact that software is a huge area with different activities, we can state that some collaboration issues are common for all of them. Therefore, we posed as our goal to understand which are the most common collaboration issues in software development and how game elements could help to minimize these issues.

Our research can be characterized as an *exploratory study*, and its design is based on four main phases as follows: literature review, exploratory, framework development and framework evaluation, as shown in Figure 2. The phases and their main activities are described next.

3.1 Phase 1: Literature Review

We first conducted an informal literature review on the topic of gamification, aiming to identify how mature the subject is, which papers, authors and keywords are relevant and also which areas are researching the subject the most.

Based upon the results, we conducted a literature review to investigate and to understand how gamification is applied in work and in software environments, and which are the game elements used in both scenarios. Gamification had already two systematic literature reviews [12] [21] which helped us to understand the topic. Most of our review findings have been cited by both studies. Next, we conducted a literature review in collaboration to identify the common issues that impacts collaboration in software teams. Finally, we studied the topic of motivation in software engineering to understand what drives people to accomplish their work.

We identified an initial list of 343 collaboration issues and then later refined this list for duplicates and similarities, resulting in a list with 34 collaboration issues. These issues were categorized and grouped as per the 3C Collaboration Model [10][28] by the first author and the classification discussed with the second author and later validated with experts as presented next.

For gamification, we found that authors like Zichermann [32], Hamari [12], and Pedreira [21] provide lists of game elements which are not available for quick references or do not have enough detailed information. Therefore, we consider the BadgeVille' list of 31 game elements [2] as reference to our work. The list provides additional information, examples, and other useful information. We cross-referred the elements in this list with the lists of the above mentioned authors for consistency of definitions.

3.2 Phase 2: Exploratory

In this phase we interviewed 3 experts on software development, selected based on our contacts and their level of expertise on the topic (e.g., at least 5 years of experience), and invited them to evaluate the preliminary list of issues encountered in literature and to classify the issues using the five before mentioned dimensions (communication, coordination, cooperation, awareness and group formation). We also asked them if they would add any other issues to the list. The first and second authors double-checked and discussed in details the received feedback.

The experts were given 34 printed cards containing each one of the identified issues, a number ID, and a short description of the referred issue. They were introduced to the dynamics and asked to classify each issue per the five dimensions. They were also instructed to feel free to point out if they did not feel that a particular issue was relevant for the list. At the end of the dynamics, each expert was interviewed to see if she would like to add any other collaboration-related issue that she might have experienced in her work environment and to provide overall comments about her contribution.

3.3 Phase 3: Framework Development

Next, based on the literature reviews and on our own knowledge of the subject, we identified which game elements can be applied for each issue. The mapping was a subjective process where we defined which are the desirable behaviors expected for the collaboration issues identified, and how the



Figure 2: Proposed research design

game elements could help to foster such behaviour. For example, the issue "No Meetings" is defined by "there is no meeting for the team", and as a desired behavior we want to "create a routine of meetings for the team". So, based on examples from literature and on our own understanding of each game element, we choose those who could help to jump start that desired behavior. For example, "quests" could help by creating tasks for team members where they obligatorily need to meet in order to complete the challenge.

The proposed preliminary framework is organized into the five collaboration dimensions, each one composed by one or more identified collaboration issues. Issues are identified by a singular name and description, and brings together the associated desired behaviour (what is expected), game elements and discussion (how the game elements proposed can be applied). The framework is presented in table 1.

This first version was initially proposed by the first author and extensively discussed with the second author for refinement. Later it was discussed among all authors until an alpha version was considered ready for evaluation activities.

3.4 Phase 4: Framework Evaluation

We planned the framework evaluation into two stages: the preliminary evaluation using member checking technique [24], to collect feedback from experts about the alpha version (presented in this paper), and a second evaluation using a controlled experiment aiming to identify how a sample of the framework is observed in practice (still to take place).

For the preliminary evaluation, we used the member checking technique, which collects feedback on the findings from the subjects who provided the data in the first place [24]. Thus, we contacted two of the experts that participated in the exploratory study (Phase 2) and invited them to provided us feedback about the alpha version of the framework. Given that we could have no concluding feedback, we decided to invite three additional experts, who have at least the same background of the others. Two of them had previous practical experience with gamification, providing us a different perspective from the previous experts.

The controlled experiment is currently being designed. We will select a sample of collaboration issues of our interest and will conduct a two months-long activity with graduate students of a CSCW course within a Computer Science program in which they will demonstrate how they handle behaviour change promoted based on the introduction of the game elements into the software development processes they will be adopting to complete the task-at-hand. Most students of the candidate program often have work experience in industry, thus we assume they will have a practical comprehension of the situations presented on the framework.

4. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

The preliminary framework was developed based upon the findings on Phases 1 and 2 as previously described. The main goal is to consolidate knowledge in a single artifact to facilitate practitioners and researchers' work either by being a guideline to be used in practice with software teams or having it as a baseline to further research on the topic. The framework proposes how to use game elements to minimize each of the 34 identified collaboration issues faced by software teams in their activities. Table 1 presents our defined alpha version.

5. PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

We present in this section the findings of the preliminary evaluation as introduced in Section 3.4. We initially selected the same five experts that have participated in Phase 2. The main goal of this evaluation was to collect participants' feedback about the alpha version of the framework, and identify whether they would suggest changes or improvements to it. An individual interview of about one hour long was conducted with each of them, having the list of game elements explained and version of the framework, as shown in Table 1. The interview was structured by questions about their opinion about collaboration, gamification and how the issues encountered can be addressed by each set of game elements proposed in the framework.

The feedback was collected, analyzed and grouped into similar suggestions. an action plan was defined to address the suggestions. The main contributions of this preliminary evaluation are summarized below:

- 1. The need for examples from literature: All experts suggested us to add examples from literature to facilitate the understanding of the framework. Examples will be added to the beta version.
- 2. The need for profiles: Four experts asked if those game elements could be applied to any kind of role (e.g., developer, manager). Since it is known that some game elements are most suitable to different profiles, the idea to consider the profiles will also be considered for the beta version.
- 3. Scope boundaries: Each practitioner suggested some different approaches to the framework. For example, one asked if the framework will be suitable for out-sourcing teams; another asked if the framework will propose who should apply the guidelines to the team. So it became important to clearly limit the boundaries of the framework scope. We will add such a refined description when we have the final version.
- 4. How to measure: Three experts asked how each behaviour change will be measured. It may be interesting to consider some metrics to measure the effectiveness of the framework in action. This is an open issue.
- 5. **Publication on the Web**: One practitioner suggested the publication of the framework on the Web, to be easily consulted by other researchers and people interested in gamification studies. Coming soon either.

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Collaboration plays an important role in software team activities, so it is important to find ways to minimize the impact of its issues, and also foster the collaboration in teams. Gamification is the use of game elements in non-game contexts, and its use is becoming more popular in industry.

This study proposed a preliminary version of a framework to use gamification as a motivator for software development teams to minimize their collaboration issues, which often affect group formation, communication, coordination, cooperation, and awareness.

This version of the framework was created based upon the findings of literature reviews and interviews with experts. Later, we mapped each issue to game elements that

| Table 1: Th | e Alpha ' | Version of | the Prop | osed Framework |
|-------------|-----------|------------|----------|----------------|
| | | | | |

| C | Communication | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| # | Issue | Description | Desired Behavior | Game Elements | Discussion | | |
| 1 | No com | Team members don't | Teame must | Cascading Information | Caseading information theory can halp the team to | | |
| 1 | mon sonso | have common sense | have a common | Theory Achievements | achieve the common sense of the work to be done. Quests | | |
| | hetween | alignment compro- | sense about the | Quests Notifier User | achievements can create a step-by-step path where | | |
| | toom mom | mise metivation | ovpoctations of | Profile Status | toom members can learn all important thing about the | | |
| | bore | ate, motivation, | the project | 1 Ionie, Status | project Notifiers user profile and status also may help | | |
| | Ders | etc. | the project. | | in the situation | | |
| - 2 | Encocine | Original of informa | Teens monthese | A shierensenta A speciet | In the situation. | | |
| 2 | Camanumi | dien and communica | Team members | Achievements, Appoint- | Achievements, appointments and quests can create and | | |
| | Communi- | tion and communica- | must know ex- | ments, Quests, Notmer, | describe the team members who must be aware of the | | |
| | cation | tion | actly who should | User Profile, Status | results of the accomplishment. Notifier, user profile and | | |
| | | | be aware of their | | status can support the idea for knowing who must be in- | | |
| | T G II | | information | | formed about something. | | |
| 3 | Ineffective | There's no common | Team members | Cascading Information | Cascading information theory can help the team to | | |
| | Communi- | ground between team | must have a | Theory, Achievements, | achieve the common sense of the work to be done. Quests | | |
| | cation | members and this af- | similar language | Quests, Notifier, User | and achievements can create a step-by-step path where | | |
| | | fects the quality of | for working | Profile, Status | team members can learn all important thing about the | | |
| | | communication | together. | | project. Notifiers, user profile and status also may help | | |
| | | | | | in the situation | | |
| 4 | Lack of | Too much distraction | Improve the | Achievements, Reward | Achievements, reward schedules and loss aversion can cre- | | |
| | Focus in | in meetings causes | focus in meet- | Schedules, Countdown, | ate some behaviors that will reward the team members | | |
| | Meetings | loss of information | ings by creating | Loss Aversion, Virality, | who paid attention in the meeting. Countdown can cre- | | |
| | | and impacts decisions | mechanisms to | Blissful Productivity | ate the awareness that the team must use that specific | | |
| | | | help it | | amount of time do conclude the meeting. Virality can | | |
| | | | | | create the idea that team members must conclude the | | |
| 1 | | | | | meeting together. Blissful productivity can be used to | | |
| | | | | | create an interest in the meetings. | | |
| 5 | No Meet- | There are no meetings | Create a routine | Achievements, Quests, | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to | | |
| 1 | ings | for the team | of meetings for | Appointments, Notifier, | help create the routine of meetings. Also, notifier can no- | | |
| 1 | | | the team | Bonuses, Levels, Points, | tify the team members about the meetings. The rewards | | |
| L | | | | Leaderboard, Progression | will come in form of bonuses, points, etc. | | |
| 6 | No Techni- | Team members don't | Create a routine | Achievements, Quests, | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to | | |
| 1 | cal Discus- | discuss technical in- | for fostering | Appointments, Notifier, | help create the routine of meetings. Also, notifier can no- | | |
| 1 | sions | formation | technical discus- | Bonuses, Levels, Points, | tify the team members about the meetings. The rewards | | |
| | | | sions | Leaderboard, Progression | will come in form of bonuses, points, etc. | | |
| 7 | Lack of | There's no Informal | Foster the infor- | Achievements, Quests Ap- | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to | | |
| | Informal | communication (not | mal communica- | pointments, Bonuses Lev- | help create the routine of informal communication. The | | |
| | Communi- | involving work) or ad- | tion in the team, | els, Points, Leaderboard, | rewards will come in form of bonuses, points, levels, etc. | | |
| | cation | hoc communication | by allowing them | Virality, Community Col- | Community Collaboration and Virality also can help peo- | | |
| | | | to gather outside | laboration | ple to cooperate. | | |
| | | | the workspace | | | | |
| 8 | Lack of | Team members don't | Sit the team | Achievements, Quests, | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to | | |
| | face-to-face | have rich face-to-face | together to help | Appointments, Bonuses | help create the routine of face to face communication. | | |
| | communi- | communication | the face-to-face | Levels, Points, Leader- | The rewards will come in form of bonuses, points, lev- | | |
| | cation | | communication | board, Virality, Commu- | els, etc. Community Collaboration and Virality also can | | |
| | | | | nity Collaboration | help people to cooperate. | | |
| 9 | Lack of | Team members don't | Foster the feed- | Achievements, Quests, | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to | | |
| | Feedback | give feedback to each | back process in | Appointments, Bonuses, | help create the routine of feedback. The rewards will come | | |
| | | other | the team | Levels, Points, Leader- | in form of bonuses, points, levels, etc. Community Col- | | |
| | | | | board, Progression, | laboration and Virality also can help people to cooperate. | | |
| | | | | Virality , Community | Loss Aversion can make team members focus on giving | | |
| | | | | Collaboration, Loss | feedback in a specific timebox. And lottery may create | | |
| | | | | Aversion, Lottery | an environment where team members must give random | | |
| | | | | | feedback to team members based on chance. | | |
| C | oordination | | | | | | |
| # | Issue | Description | Desired Behavior | Game Elements | Discussion | | |
| 10 | No clear | No clear goals and | Goals are clear | Achievements, Cascading | Achievements and quests can create milestones that the | | |
| | goals | objectives about the | and available for | Information Theory, Epic | team might follow to achieve the goal, giving them the | | |
| | | work to be done | every team mem- | Meaning, Quests | step-by-step to success. Cascading Information Theory | | |
| | | | ber | | may give to the team only the right information for the | | |
| 1 | | | | | time they need, making them focused on mastering the | | |
| | | | | | first steps. Epic Meaning may give the goal a special | | |
| | | | | | narrative, giving the feeling that the team will be really | | |
| | | | | | impacted by achieving the goals. | | |
| 11 | No clear | No clear tasks for the | Tasks are prop- | Achievements, Quests, | Achievements and quests may create the ideal meaning for | | |
| | tasks | work to be done | erly defined and | Combos, Progression | each task, giving purpose for them. Combos can create | | |
| | | | team members | | the step-by-step desired results to be achieved. Progres- | | |
| 1 | | | know what to do | | sion will allow the team members to see the stage of the | | |
| | | | | | work done and to be made. | | |
| 12 | Unrealistic | Unrealistic schedules, | Plans should | Community Collabora- | Virality (when considering only the team) and community | | |
| | plan | milestones, goals, esti- | be created with | tion, Virality, Discovery, | collaboration (stakeholders) can help team members to | | |
| 1 | | mates, etc. | the participa- | Loss aversion, Urgent | cooperate in creating the plan. Discovery allow members | | |
| 1 | | | tion of every | Optimism | to seek for better ways to understand and achieve the | | |
| 1 | | | team member, | | goals. Loss aversion can be used to make members update | | |
| 1 | | | to gather every | | the plan constantly, so they will not lose privileges (for | | |
| 1 | | | opinion and then | | example, if they do not update the plan, they will be | | |
| 1 | | | guarantee better | | responsible for the estimates). Urgent optimism may be | | |
| 1 | | | estimates. | | useful to help members to have the feeling that the plan | | |
| | | | | | is able to have success. | | |
| 13 | No clear | Team members don't | Team members | Achievements, Appoint- | Appointments, achievements and quests can help define | | |
| 1 | roles | know or are not satis- | must know their | ments, Quests, User | the roles and expected tasks of team members. User pro- | | |
| 1 | | fied about their roles | responsibilities, | Profile | file is useful to allow the others to see their information. | | |
| 1 | | in the project | and also the | | | | |
| 1 | | | ones of their | | | | |
| 1 | | | colleagues | | | | |

| 1 | Lack of sup- port for new | Newcomers don't have specific support from | Newcomers must know what to | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Quests, Bonuses, | Newcomers might have achievements, appointments, quests and reward schedules to begin knowing what to do and the expected |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|
| | members | team members | do, and the team | Cascading Information The- | results. A cascading information theory can help them to have a |
| | | | support them. | Progression. Reward Sched- | the new work. The use of levels, bonuses, points, progression. |
| | | | | ules, Status, Leaderboard, | status and leaderboard is also interesting for the team to be re- |
| 1 | a lack of | Managers den't support | Managers must | User Profile | warded by supporting the new member. |
| 1. | involve- | the team | support the team, | Collaboration, Epic Meaning, | chronize actions with the managers. Community Collaboration |
| | ment from | | when needed. | Ownership, Quests, Virality | enable the managers to take part in the problem solving, helping |
| | managers | | | | directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership |
| | | | | | may boost the interest by the manager in participating in the process because they can see the value of that Virality creates |
| | | | | | the background to allow people to cooperate |
| 1 | 6 Excessive | Team members work | Team members | Countdown, Loss Aversion, | Countdown might incentivize the team members to not over- |
| | Workload | many extra hours on | must not burn out | Notifier, Achievements, | come the specific time. Notifiers can give some alerts to the |
| | | Lasks | | Progression, Leaderboard | els, progression and leaderboard may encourage the change of |
| | | | | | behavior, rewarding those who do not work too much. Also, loss |
| | | | | | aversion may help team members to not lose achievements by |
| 1 | Excessive | Planning and processes | Plans must main- | Quests. Progression. Activity | Quests can be used to create a set of steps that every task in |
| | Changes | (like methodology) | tain a minimum of | Feed, Notifiers | the plan may have. Changes will impact the progression, so team |
| | in Plan or | change frequently | previsibility to give | | can see this happening. Activity feed and notifiers can be used |
| | Process | | curity in work | | to maintain a log of the activities, helping the team to see now things are going or when they changed. |
| 1 | Lack of | The project doesn't rep- | The project must | Achievements, Appoint- | Achievements, appointments, quests, loss aversion, rewarded |
| | Challenges | resent a meaningful mo- | represent a chal- | ments, Blissful Productivity, | schedules can create an environment where the team can have |
| | or Purpose | tivation for team mem- | who will work on it | Bonuses, Combos, Discov- | small objectives to accomplish. Doing that, they will be able |
| | | Ders | | Loss Aversion, Ownership, | els, status and the sense of progression and leaderboard. The |
| | | | | Points, Progression, Quests, | Epic Meaning and ownership may create also a good environ- |
| | | | | Rewarded Schedules, Sta- | ment for the work to do. Easter eggs and instances can create |
| | | | | Leaderboard | some chaotie things making the team leave the routine. |
| 19 | Lack of in- | There's no extrinsic mo- | There should be in- | Achievements, Bonuses, | Team members can achieve some rewards, that could be "in |
| | centives | tivation for team mem- | centives from the | Combos, Levels, Points, Pro- gressions Quests Rewarded | game" or even real extrinsic rewards, for accomplishing some quests or seeking some achievements. That will affect their levels |
| | | Ders | ate motivation in | Schedules, Leaderboard, | status, progression and leaderboard. |
| | | | the teams | Status | |
| 20 | Lack of Au- | Team members don't | Team members | Discovery, Community Col- | Discovery may give the team the idea of discover how to achieve better ways to work. Community Collaboration and Virality make |
| | tonomy | | omy to decide the | ship | the team members work together, and have their own opinions. |
| | | | best way to work | | to change the progression of work. Ownership can give the team |
| | | | on the problems | | members a reason for feeling like owning something special about |
| 2 | Lack of So- | Team members don't | Foster the social | Achievements, Quests, Ap- | Having social events is important, so having achievements, |
| | cial Events | have social events or | events for helping | pointments, Virality, Com- | quests, appointments and reward schedules that incentivize this |
| | | spaces to build relation- | the team to create | munity Collaboration, Reward | stuff will be great. They will get bonuses and points for it, so it will affect their levels and leaderboard. Also, Community Collab- |
| | | Ship | unidentity | Points, Leaderboard, Pro- | oration and Virality are important to create a cooperation mech- |
| | | | | gression, Bonuses, Status | anism for them. |
| 2 | 2 Lack of Monitoring | There's no monitoring | Make managers be | Community Collaboration, Virality Achievements Ap- | Community Collaboration and Virality can create the routine of |
| | lineintering | members in the work | give them this re- | pointments, Quests, Bonuses, | and quests are important to set some objectives where the mon- |
| | | | sponsability | Points, Levels, Leaderboard | itoring is important. Doing that, the team members will be re- |
| - | lack of | Team members don't | Create a process to | Cascading Information The | warded by bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard. |
| 2 | Training | have training for the | have training ses- | ory, Achievements, Quests, | ing. This also can be accomplished with achievements, quests, |
| | | work to be done | sions for the team | Appointments, Schedule | appointments and schedule rewards that will create step by step |
| | | | | Kewards, Points, Bonuses, Combos Levels Progression | tasks to help team members train. They also will be rewarded by |
| | | | | Leaderboard, Status, User | and user profile. Also, notifier can remind them to keep training. |
| | | | 1 | profile. Urgent Optimism | Urgent optimism is important to keep the team member with the |
| C | | | | | C is at a set and the set of the team member with the |
| 2 | ooperation | | | r, . G | feeling that they will accomplish the objectives |
| 1 - | ooperation No relation- | Team members working | Team members | Achievements, Appoint- | Feeling that they will accomplish the objectives Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the |
| | ooperation No relation- ship between | Team members working alone, not talking to each | Team members must talk to each | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks |
| | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards |
| | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- |
| | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- mation about team members, to help them knowing each other. |
| 2 | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- mation about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate |
| 2! | ooperation A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- mation about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to syn- chronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration |
| 2! | ooperation A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- mation about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to syn- chronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, help- ing diments the the members of the team to syn- |
| 2! | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, help-ing directly the interest by the stakeholder in participating. |
| 2! | ooperation No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more infor- mation about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to syn- chronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, help- ing directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Own- ership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality |
| 2! | ooperation A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, helping directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. |
| 2! | A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders Lack of Tools and | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders Tools to facilitate the collaboration are not | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members Teams must have available the right | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality Discovery, Notifier | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, help-ing directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. |
| 2 | A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders Lack of Tools and Resources | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders Tools to facilitate the collaboration are not available or are not | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members Teams must have available the right tools for the work. | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality Discovery, Notifier | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, helping directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. The team is able to explore and discover the best tools to achieve their work. Also, when they are stuck in something, they can generate a notification to ask for help |
| 2! | A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders Lack of Tools and Resources No Shared | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders Tools to facilitate the collaboration are not available or are not appropriated Team members don't | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members Teams must have available the right tools for the work. | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality Discovery, Notifier | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, helping directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. The team is able to explore and discover the best tools to achieve their work. Also, when they are stuck in something, they can generate a notification to ask for help |
| 2 | A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders Lack of Tools and Resources No Shared Work Space | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders Tools to facilitate the collaboration are not available or are not appropriated Team members don't have a physical space to | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders Stakeholders must be available to to Teams must available the right tools for the work. Team Team members | Achievements, Appointments, Ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality Discovery, Notifier Achievements, Appointments, Appointments, | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, helping directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate The team is able to explore and discover the best tools to achieve their work. Also, when they are stuck in something, they can generate a notification to ask for help |
| 2 | A No relation- ship between team mem- bers No relation- ship with stakeholders Lack of Tools and Resources No Shared Work Space | Team members working alone, not talking to each other, not collaborating Team members don't have access to users, clients and stakeholders Tools to facilitate the collaboration are not available or are not appropriated Team members don't have a physical space to share | Team members must talk to each other, sharing information and work Stakeholders must be available to team members Teams must have available the right tools for the work. Team members must sit together and share the same | Achievements, Appointments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule Appointments, Community Collaboration, Epic Meaning, Ownership, Quests, Virality Virality Discovery, Notifier Achievements, Appointments, Activity Feed Activity Feed Activity | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate Appointments and quests can be set up to help the team to synchronize actions with the stakeholders. Community Collaboration enable the stakeholders to take part in the problem solving, helping directly the results of the process. Epic Meaning and Ownership may boost the interest by the stakeholder in participating in the process, because they can see the value of that. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate The team is able to explore and discover the best tools to achieve their work. Also, when they are stuck in something, they can generate a notification to ask for help |

| 28 | Excessive Conflicts Be- tween Team Members | Conflict between team members happens fre- quently | Team members must communicate better to avoid having conflicts that will affect the work | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Bonuses, Points, Levels, Quests, Leaderboard, User Profile, Virality, Reward Schedule | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. Also, reward schedule, bonuses, points, levels and leaderboard can create some rewards for these actions. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 29 | Lack of Knowledge Sharing | Knowledge doesn't flow in the team due to lack of moments and artifacts for knowledge sharing | Foster the knowl- edge sharing by improving awareness and communication | Achievements, Quests, Ap- pointments, Bonuses, Levels, Points, Progression, Leader- board, Virality, Community Collaboration, Loss Aversion | Achievements, quests and appointments are crucial to help create the routine of knowledge management. The rewards will come in form of bonuses, points, levels, etc. Community Collaboration and Virality also can help people to cooperate. Loss Aversion can make team members focus on maintaining the artifacts or communication in a specific timebox. |
| Gr | oup Formation | | | | |
| 30 | Individual over teams | When individual goals are more important than the team goals | Team members must understand the importance of the team, seeking their personal goals by achieving the team goals. | Achievements, Blissful Pro- ductivity, Bonuses, Epic Meaning, Free Lunch, Levels, Points, Progression, Quests, Status, Virality, Activity Feed, Leaderboard, Reward Schedule | Achievements and quests can help create specific tasks that must be achieved in cooperation. This will give to the team members points and bonuses, that will improve their levels and improve their status and leaderboard. The blissful productivity combined with virality can make the team work together and hard, which will impacts directly the personal. Free lunch will give the mem- ber an opportunity to have rewards based upon the work of the others. Also, the activity feed can help team members to be aware of what the others are doing. |
| 31 | Lack of trust | Team members don't trust each other | Team members must know each other to start build- ing a relationship | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Quests, User Profile, Virality | Achievements, appointments and quests can be used to foster the relationship between members, by creating some specific tasks that will allow them to communicate. User profile can be used to show more information about team members, to help them knowing each other. Virality creates the background to allow people to cooperate. |
| Awareness | | | | | |
| 32 | Lack of Perception of Work in Progress | Team members don't have the perception of status, who is working on specific tasks, who to report, etc.Team mem- bers don't have the per- ception of status, who is working on specific tasks, who to report, etc. | Create an environ- ment that fosters the perception of work by team mem- bers | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Quests, Progression, Activity Feed, Notifier | Achievements, appointments and quests create the milestones where the work can be visualized, and also, the progression helps to see how far the work is made, and how much is pending. Activity feed and notifiers can also help the teams to be instantly aware of work. |
| 33 | Lack of Perception of Team Availability | Team members don't have the perception about team members' availability or status | Create an environ- ment that fosters the awareness of team members | Status, User Profile, Activity Feed, Notifiers | Status and user profiles can have the availability of the team members. Notifiers and activity feed can help the team members to be aware of who is doing what, and if they are available or not |
| 34 | Lack of Sources to Help Awareness | There are no artifacts, documents or tools to help teams to maintain awareness | Create an environ- ment that fosters the cooperation of documents by team members | Achievements, Appoint- ments, Quests, Progression, Activity Feed, Notifier | Achievements, appointments and quests create the milestones where the work can be visualized, and also, the progression helps to see how far the work is made, and how much is pending. Activity feed and notifiers can also help the teams to be instantly aware of work. |

might help foster collaboration in teams. These results were preliminarily evaluated by a group of experts who suggested improvements for a beta version.

Our preliminary evaluation was discussed with 5 experts only but given their level of expertise we consider this version stable enough to be used in our next steps. Also, given the limited number of empirical studies reporting how game elements are used in practice, we need to further explore how they can be effectively used, thus our experiment. Although this is an ongoing work, we believe that this initial version can be of use to both experts and researchers.

We are currently designing the second stage of our planned evaluation as previously presented: the experiment. We expect that this controlled activity will bring us new insights and a better understanding of how the framework can be used in practice. Also it may be interesting to analyze how each issue is related and how their interactions could be affected by the interventions of the game elements. The framework does not consider any software development areas or roles, and their specific collaboration issues. This might be interesting for future work.

As seen in the feedback collected until now, the framework has the potential to be a very interesting tool to be applied in work environments and help to minimize collaboration issues in software teams.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is sponsored by the PDTI Program, financed by Dell Computers of Brazil Ltd. (Law 8.248/91). We also would like to thank all the researchers and the practitioners who contributed to our work.

8. REFERENCES

- A. Aparicio, F. Vela, J. Sánchez, and J. Montes. Analysis and application of gamification. In ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 2012.
- [2] BadgeVille. Game mechanics gamification.org. http://bit.ly/BVGameMechanics, 2011. Accessed: 2015-06-20.
- [3] S. Beecham, N. Baddoo, T. Hall, H. Robinson, and H. Sharp. Motivation in software engineering: A systematic literature review. *Information and Software Technology*, 50(9–10):860 – 878, 2008.
- B. Burke. Gamification 2020: What is the future of gamification? http://bit.ly/Gartner2020, 2012. Accessed: 2015-07-30.

- [5] C. R. B. de Souza, S. Marczak, and R. Prikladnicki. Desenvolvimento colaborativo de software. In M. Pimentel, editor, *Sistemas Colaborativos*, chapter 8, page 123. Elsevier Editora LTDA, -, 2011.
- [6] S. Deterding, D. Dixon, R. Khaled, and L. Nacke. From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining "gamification". In Proc. Int'l Academic MindTrek Conference, Tampere, Finland, pages 9–15. ACM, 2011.
- [7] D. J. Dubois and G. Tamburrelli. Understanding gamification mechanisms for software development. In Proc. of the 2013 9th Joint Meeting on Foundations of Software Engineering, Saint Petersburg, Russia, ESEC/FSE 2013, pages 659–662. ACM, 2013.
- [8] C. Ellis, S. Gibbs, and G. Rein. Groupware some issues and experiences. *Communications of the ACM*, 34(1):38–58, 1991.
- [9] H. Fuks, M. Pimentel, and C. Pereira de Lucena. R-u-typing-2-me? evolving a chat tool to increase understanding in learning activities. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 1(1):117–142, 2006.
- [10] H. Fuks, A. B. Raposo, M. A. Gerosa, and C. J. P. Lucena. Applying the 3c model to groupware development. *International Journal of Cooperative Information Systems*, 14(02n03):299–328, 2005.
- [11] M. Gerosa, M. Pimentel, H. Fuks, and C. de Lucena. Development of groupware based on the 3c collaboration model and component technology. In Y. Dimitriadis, I. Zigurs, and E. Gómez-Sánchez, editors, *Groupware: Design, Implementation, and Use*, volume 4154 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 302–309. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006.
- [12] J. Hamari, J. Koivisto, and H. Sarsa. Does gamification work? - A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. In Proc. Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, Waikoloa, USA, pages 3025–3034, 2014.
- [13] K. Huotari and J. Hamari. Defining gamification: a service marketing perspective. In Proc. Int'l Conference on Media of the Future, Tampere, Finland, pages 17–22, 2012.
- [14] S. W. Kozlowski and D. R. Ilgen. Enhancing the effectiveness of work groups and teams. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 7(3):77–124, 2006.
- [15] J. Kumar, M. Herger, S. Deterding, S. Schnaars, M. Landes, and E. N. Webb. Gamification @ work. In Proc. Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Paris, France, pages 2427–2432, 2013.
- [16] T. Kusumasari, I. Supriana, K. Surendro, and H. Sastramihardja. Collaboration model of software development. In Proc. Int'l Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics, Bandung, Indonesia, pages 1–6, July 2011.
- [17] R. Lotufo, L. T. Passos, and K. Czarnecki. Towards improving bug tracking systems with game mechanisms. In Proc. Conference of Mining Software Repositories, Zurich, Switzerland, pages 2–11, 2012.
- [18] L. Moccozet, C. Tardy, W. Opprecht, and M. Leonard. Gamification-based assessment of group work. In Proc. Int'l Conference on Interactive Collaborative Learning, Kazam, Russia, pages 171–179, 2013.

- [19] B. Neeli. A method to engage employees using gamification in bpo industry. In Proceedings - 2012 3rd International Conference on Services in Emerging Markets, ICSEM 2012, pages 142–146, 2012.
- [20] C. Nelson, B. Brummel, D. Grove, N. Jorgenson, S. Sen, and R. Gamble. Measuring creativity in software development. pages 205–214, 2010.
- [21] O. Pedreira, F. Garcia, N. R. Brisaboa, and M. Piattini. Gamification in software engineering - A systematic mapping. *Information & Software Technology*, 57:157–168, 2015.
- [22] D. Pink. Drive The Surprising Truth About What Motivates Us. Riverhead Hardcover, December 2009.
- [23] R. M. Ryan and E. L. Deci. Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educ. Psychology*, 25(1):54 – 67, 2000.
- [24] C. B. Seaman. Qualitative methods in empirical studies of software engineering. *IEEE Trans. Software Eng.*, 25(4):557–572, 1999.
- [25] F. Serce, F.-N. Alpaslan, K. Swigger, R. Brazile, G. Dafoulas, V. Lopez, and R. Schumacker. Exploring collaboration patterns among global software development teams. In *Proc. Conference on Global Software Engineering, Limerick, Ireland*, pages 61–70, July 2009.
- [26] L. Singer and K. Schneider. It was a bit of a race: gamification of version control. In Proc. Int'l Workshop on Games and Software Engineering: Realizing User Engagement with Game Engineering Techniques, Zurich, Switzerland, pages 5–8, 2012.
- [27] W. Snipes, A. R. Nair, and E. R. Murphy-Hill. Experiences gamifying developer adoption of practices and tools. In Proc. Int'l Conference on Software Engineering, Hyderabad, India, pages 105–114, 2014.
- [28] I. Steinmacher, A. Chaves, and M. Gerosa. Awareness support in global software development: A systematic review based on the 3c collaboration model. In G. Kolfschoten, T. Herrmann, and S. Lukosch, editors, *Collaboration and Technology*, volume 6257 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 185–201. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010.
- [29] C. Treude, M.-A. Storey, and J. Weber. Empirical studies on collaboration in software development: A systematic literature review, 2009.
- [30] A. S. Vivacqua and A. C. B. Garcia. Capítulo 3 ontologia de colaboração. In M. P. Fuks, editor, *Sistemas Colaborativos*, pages 34 – 49. Elsevier Editora Ltda., 2012.
- [31] E. Webb and A. Cantú. Building internal enthusiasm for gamification in your organization. 8005 LNCS(PART 2):316–322, 2013.
- [32] G. Zichermann and C. Cunningham. Gamification by Design: Implementing Game Mechanics in Web and Mobile Apps. O'Reilly Media, Inc., 1st edition, 2011.