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ABSTRACT

The flexibility of high level authoring models (such as SMIL 2.0) for the edition of complex Interactive Multimedia Documents can lead authors, in certain cases, to specify synchronization relations which could not be satisfied during the presentation of the document, thus characterizing the occurrence of temporal inconsistencies. For this reason, we need to apply a methodology which provides the formal semantics for the dynamic behaviour of the document, consistency checking, and the scheduling of the presentation taking into account the temporal non-determinism of these documents. This paper refers to a methodology for the formal design of Interactive Multimedia Documents based on the formal description technique RT-LOTOS. In particular, this paper presents an approach applied by our methodology for the automatic translation of SMIL 2.0 documents into RT-LOTOS specifications.

RESUMO

A flexibilidade de modelos de autoria de alto nível (tais como o de SMIL 2.0) para a edição de Documentos Multimídia Interativos complexos pode levar os autores, em certos casos, a especificar relações de sincronização que não podem ser satisfeitas durante a apresentação do documento, caracterizando a ocorrência de inconsistências temporais. Por essa razão, é necessária a utilização de uma metodologia que ofereça uma semântica formal para o comportamento do documento, realize a verificação de consistência, o escalonamento e a apresentação levando em consideração o não-determinismo temporal desses documentos. Este artigo faz referência a uma metodologia para a concepção formal de Documentos Multimídia Interativos baseados na Técnica de Descrição Formal RT-LOTOS. Em particular, este artigo apresenta uma abordagem, utilizada pela nossa metodologia, para a tradução automática de documentos SMIL 2.0 em especificações RT-LOTOS.
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1 Introduction
The definition of Interactive Multimedia Documents is related to the coordinated presentation of different types of information (text, images, audio, video, etc.) possibly associated with user interactions. The quality of the presentation of these documents depends upon the global consistency of their temporal synchronization constraints. An Interactive Multimedia Document is considered as consistent when all of its synchronization constraints can be respected during the presentation. Otherwise, if a synchronization constraint can not be respected, the presentation of the document can lead to a deadlock situation. Unfortunately, few has been done concerning the verification of consistency properties, and scheduling of Interactive Multimedia Documents [Courtiat96, Layaida95, Mirbel2000, Jourdan2001].

Over the last few years, the consortium W3C proposed the language Synchronized Multimedia Integrated Language (SMIL) in order to provide the integration of Interactive Multimedia Documents (IMDs) on the web. Thus, SMIL has been largely applied for the design and presentation of these documents [Rutledge2001, Bulterman2001, Tae-Hyun2000]. SMIL 2.0 enhances the first version of this language providing several innovative features. One important characteristic of SMIL 2.0 is its flexible temporal model which eventually can lead the author to describe synchronization constraints that can not be resolved during the presentation of the document (in fact, tools like Grins, RealOne behave differently in such circumstances). For this purpose, it is necessary a formal methodology for the design of SMIL 2.0 documents.

In this paper we refer to a methodology for the design, the consistency analysis and the scheduling of Interactive Multimedia Documents. Our methodology presents a solid formal basis, the formal description technique Real Time LOTOS (and its simulation/verification environment RTL – RT-LOTOS Laboratory which was developed at LAAS-CNRS [Courtiat2000]). The utilization of RT-LOTOS enables the complete management of the non-determinism inherent to Interactive Multimedia Documents during their presentation. In order to illustrate this methodology, we apply the SMIL 2.0 language for the design of complex Interactive Multimedia Documents. In particular, this paper presents an approach for the automatic translation of SMIL 2.0 documents into RT-LOTOS specification, which is applied in our methodology.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents a global view of the applied formal design methodology. Section 3 introduces an approach for the automatic translation of a SMIL 2.0 document into an RT-LOTOS specification. Sections 4 and 5 present, respectively, an overview of the verification and scheduling of IMDs. Section 6 discusses some aspects of the literature. Finally, section 7 presents some conclusions of this work.

2 The Formal Design Methodology

The proposed methodology aims to provide a framework for the design (specification, verification and presentation) of complex Interactive Multimedia Documents which relies on the formal description technique RT-LOTOS (illustrated in Figure 1).
Figure 1. Formal design methodology of SMIL 2.0 documents

This methodology provides a high flexibility for the author during the edition of the IMD since he is able to describe his document using the authoring model of his preference, for instance SMIL 2.0. For this reason, this paper addresses the utilization of the proposed methodology as a means to provide a formal approach for the verification, scheduling and presentation of consistent SMIL 2.0 documents. This methodology consists in the following phases: (1) edition of the IMD using SMIL 2.0 as the high-level authoring model, (2) automatic translation of logical and temporal structure of the document into an RT-LOTOS formal specification (the non-temporal components of the document are translated automatically into a *contextual information* description which is used as a support for its presentation), (3) derivation of the minimal reachability graph from the RT-LOTOS specification through the RTL tool, (4) verification of consistency properties by means of reachability analysis, and (5) scheduling of the document presentation if there are valid (consistent) solutions for it. This methodology is further discussed in [Sampaio2003].

Following the classical approach, a SMIL 2.0 document can be presented directly by a SMIL player. However, this approach prevents the author from detecting and eventually correcting the potential inconsistencies of his document. In the opposite, in our approach, we provide the detection of inconsistencies and, later on, the presentation of consistent SMIL 2.0 documents. Furthermore, we still provide (6) the re-generation of a consistent SMIL 2.0 document based on the original structure of the document and on a consistent scheduling graph. In particular, we present the approach for the automatic translation of SMIL 2.0 documents into RT-LOTOS specifications in the next section.

3 Automatic translation of SMIL 2.0 Documents into RT-LOTOS specifications

The functionalities of SMIL 2.0 were defined into several modules so that the author is able to describe his document into different levels of complexity through the combination of these modules. In order to apply the proposed methodology for the formal design of SMIL 2.0 documents, it is necessary to distinguish the components of SMIL which describe the temporal dynamics and the presentation features (spatial and content) of a document. For this reason, we distinguish the components of SMIL 2.0 into *Operational* and *Contextual* components.

Figure 2. Translation of the SMIL 2.0 Document

The *Operational* components of a SMIL 2.0 document represent the elements and attributes of this language which describe the logical and temporal behavior of the document (for instance, *par*, *seq*, *excl*, *begin*, *end*, *dur*, etc.). These components are translated into an RT-LOTOS specification. The *Contextual* components of a SMIL 2.0 document represent the elements and attributes which describe the spatial synchronization, content and the attributes describing the exclusive presentation of the components, animation, etc. These components are expressed by the *contextual information* description.

Once the components of a SMIL 2.0 document are identified, we can propose an approach based on the definition of intermediate structures representing all the components of the document and their temporal dynamics in order to preserve the semantics of a document during its translation. This approach is structured into two main phases. In the
first phase, we generate some data structures corresponding to the temporal semantics of the SMIL 2.0 document. In this phase we also generate the description of the contextual information. In the second phase, we generate some data structures corresponding to the structure of the RT-LOTOS specification, later on we generate the RT-LOTOS specification from these structures. A global view of this approach is illustrated in Figure 2.

To illustrate the utilization of this approach, we can consider the multimedia scenario of Figure 3 which describes the parallel presentation of the composition of an interactive image (interactiveButton) with the sequential composition of an exclusive presentation of two audio objects (audio1 and audio2) followed by a video object (video). The presentation duration of the objects audio1, audio2, video and interactiveButton are, respectively, [0,5] seconds, [0,5] seconds, indefinite and [0,20] seconds. As a synchronization constraint, (1) the presentation of audio1, audio2 and interactiveButton must start at the same time, and (2) the presentation of video and interactiveButton must finish together. Also, if there is a user interaction during the presentation, the presentation of the scenario is interrupted, and it is restarted immediately.

Figure 3. An interactive multimedia scenario

The first phase of this translation is presented on section 3.1, and the second phase of this approach is presented on section 3.2.

3.1. The First Phase of the Translation Approach

First of all, we carry out a lexical analysis on the SMIL 2.0 document to identify all the components of the document, their synchronization relationships, and their characteristics of presentation, in order to generate the data structures (temporal tree and synchronization arcs) necessary for the translation and the description of the contextual information. This phase is illustrated in Figure 4.

3.1.1. Generation of the Temporal Tree and Synchronization Arcs

Since we want to describe the dynamic behavior (the components and their logical and temporal relationships) of a SMIL 2.0 document, we generate:
A temporal tree which is a declarative representation that describes hierarchically all the components of a document (nodes) and their temporal characteristics. For instance, consider Figure 5 which illustrates the node interactiveButton of the previous scenario.

Figure 5. Node of the temporal tree

The nodes of a temporal tree can be a media object, a container par (parallel composition), seq (sequential composition) or excl (exclusive composition), a switch element, a prefetch element, an animation, or an anchor a or area. For each node of the temporal tree some attributes are defined such as dur (presentation duration), min (minimal presentation duration), max (maximal presentation duration), endType (in this case, the semantics of the termination of a parallel composition can be latest, earliest or master), Link_destination (if it is an anchor), superior_node, inferior_nodes, etc.

Synchronization arcs which are a declarative representation that describe the (causal) synchronization relationships defined among the components of a SMIL document. The synchronization relationships are defined by the attributes begin or/and end of presentation of a component of the document. For instance, consider the relation defined for the component video of the previous example, as follows:

```xml
<video id='video' end='interactiveButton.endEvent' ...>
```

The attribute end of the element video determines that its presentation finishes when the presentation of interactiveButton is finished. This relation can be expressed by the synchronization arc presented in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Synchronization arc associated with the object video

A synchronization arc is described by a condition-action where the condition is related to the occurrence of an event begin, end or user interaction of an element (container or a media object) probably associated with a temporal delay. An action is related to the execution of a begin or an end action of an element.

The first phase of the methodology is illustrated in Figure 7. The temporal tree and the synchronization arcs represent all the components of the document, their temporal and logical structures, and their synchronization relationships. Based on these structures, we can proceed with the second phase of the translation approach.
3.1.2. Generation of the Contextual Information Description

The contextual information (CI) is automatically derived from the SMIL 2.0 document in order to be applied further on as a support for the presentation of the document. The CI is derived from the attributes describing the presentation characteristics of the components of the document, and it is composed basically of three sections, an optional Main_Window, which describes the features of the presentation window, a mandatory Layout, which describes the spatial synchronization of the components of the document, and a mandatory Components, which describes all the contextual presentation characteristics of media objects and Excl containers. Media objects components (e.g., video_object, audio_object, text_object, animation_object and image_object) describe all the information concerning the media to be presented in the document. Excl_Container describes the semantics for the mutual exclusive presentation of a container in particular.
3.2. The Second Phase of the Translation Approach

On this phase some appropriate structures are derived from the temporal tree and synchronization arcs before the generation of the RT-LOTOS specification. These structures are known as ProcRTL and synchronization points (SP’s). This phase is divided into three steps: (1) the generation of ProcRTL and synchronization points; (2) the transformation of the attributes of ProcRTL, and; (3) the generation of the RT-LOTOS specification.

3.2.1. Generation of ProcRTL and Synchronization Points

Following this algorithm, we perform a depth-first search on the temporal tree derived from the document, and generate one occurrence of ProcRTL for each node of the temporal tree and all the synchronization points associated with the current node of the temporal tree.

ProcRTL is a data structure which represents all the elements of an RT-LOTOS process described by the attributes id and...
(process id), type (type of node which can be objectMedia, par, seq, excl, switch, prefetch, animation, a and area), gates (which describes the observable gates of the process and represents the start and end actions of the current node of the temporal tree), hide (which represents the actions from the synchronization points that do not represent the start and end actions of the current node), components (which describes the sub-processes of the current process), SPs (which describes the synchronization points associated with the process), min and max (which describe the minimal and maximal duration of the media object described by the current process), etc.

Synchronization points (SP's) describe all the synchronization relations of a node of the temporal tree such as the begin and end relations of a node, the occurrences of synchronization arcs for a node, and the user interactions over a node. As an example, consider Figure 10(a) and 10(b) which describe, respectively, the ProcRTL description and the synchronization points (SPs) for the previous example.

### 3.2.2 Transformation of the attributes of ProcRTL

Once the ProcRTL description and the synchronization points are derived, all the actions declared in ProcRTL must be transformed so that this description expresses correctly the actions associated with the begin and end of presentation of all media objects of the document. Furthermore, since a timed automata is generated later on the applied methodology for the verification of the obtained RT-LOTOS specification, we have to deal with a classical state space explosion problem. For this reason, some solutions were presented in [Sampaio2001a] and they are considered in this phase. In particular, these solutions propose the composition of actions according to some simple rules in order to reduce the size of the resultant automata.

![Figure 11. Transformation and composition of actions](image)

For instance, consider Figure 11 where the value of the attribute `hide` for the occurrence of ProcRTL Par01 is `{sPar01, ePar01, eInteractiveButton, eVideo}`. In particular, action `sPar01` can be transformed. For this purpose, this transformation is based on synchronization points (SP's) and the resultant actions are registered in a list of actions (Actions) for further utilization. Firstly, SP's indicate that the value of `sPar01` as `sSeq01` and `sA01`. In a recursive research, the value of `sSeq01` becomes `sExcl01`. Then, the value of `sExcl01` becomes `excl_sAudio1` (exclusive start of audio1) and `excl_sAudio2` (exclusive start of audio2), and so on until the actions can not be transformed anymore. In a second step, the rules presented in [Sampaio2001a] for the composition of actions are taken into account for deriving the resultant actions, in this case, `excl_sAudio1_sAudio2` and `sInteractiveButton`.

### 3.2.3 Generation of the RT-LOTOS specification

The last step of the second phase is the generation of the RT-LOTOS specification based on ProcRTL, synchronization points and list of Actions, described by the high-level description of the algorithm presented in Figure 12. According to this algorithm, before generating the RT-LOTOS specification the attributes (identification of the target nodes) that describe the navigation on the document through hyper-links are updated in ProcRTL.
After updating the navigation mechanisms of a document, we can proceed with the derivation of the RT-LOTOS specification. For this purpose, we derive the main structure of the specification based on a library of processes defined as templates. Figure 13 illustrates the main structure of an RT-LOTOS specification and its components.

This structure is composed of three main sections: the header which describes the identification of the specification followed by the declaration of data types used on this specification. The RT-LOTOS processes which are derived into three separate steps:

1. The generation of structural processes, which allow the hyper-link navigation on the document. These processes (called Document, Trigger, mainBodyDoc and bodyDoc) are illustrated by Figure 14.

The process Document encapsulates the global specification of the document. The process Trigger manages all the events generated during the presentation which are communicated to this process by the action triggerLink. Thus, this process can trigger the start of the presentation of the document, the start of presentation of a target node of a hyper-link or the end of the presentation of the document. The process mainBodyDoc describes the occurrence of a document presentation. This process is responsible for the communication between the
temporal behavior of the document presentation and the process *Trigger*. Finally, process *bodyDoc* describes the temporal behavior of a document through the hierarchy of processes associated with its components;

(2) The generation of *component processes*, which describe the hierarchy of processes (*ProcRTL*) associated with the structure of a document. The types of these processes can be assigned as *par*, *seq*, *excl*, *switch*, *objectMedia*, *prefetch*, *animation*, *a* and *area*. The specification of these processes is presented into three different styles: (a) The specification of the processes *par*, *seq*, *excl* and *switch* (illustrated in Figure 15a); (b) The specification of the processes *objectMedia*, *prefetch* and *animation* (illustrated in Figure 15b), and; (c) The specification of the processes *a* and *area* (illustrated in Figure 15c).

![Component processes](image)

Figure 15. Component processes

(3) The generation of *basic processes*, which describe the template representation for the temporal behavior of a non-interactive or an interactive object. The RT-LOTOS process (*Object process*) describing the temporal behavior of a non-interactive object is depicted in Figure 16.

![RT-LOTOS process for a non-interactive object](image)

Figure 16. RT-LOTOS process for a non-interactive object

The temporal behavior of interactive objects is described by the RT-LOTOS processes *InteractiveAncr*, *InteractivePlay* and *InteractiveStop*. The process *InteractiveAncr* describes the temporal behavior of an interactive object without possibility of navigation. The process *InteractivePlay* describes the temporal behavior of an interactive object allowing the simultaneous presentation of the source and target nodes. Contrarily, the process *InteractiveStop* describes the temporal behavior of an interactive object where the presentation of the source node is interrupted before the start of the presentation of the target node. The processes *InteractiveAncr*, *InteractivePlay* and *InteractiveStop* are illustrated in Figure 17.
Finally, the termination of the specification is described by the declaration `endspec`.

An appropriate RT-LOTOS specification style for modeling Interactive Multimedia Documents must be able to describe all the components of the documents and their synchronization constraints. As we presented previously, all the synchronization constraints of the document are described by the synchronization points (SPs). In order to model the synchronization constraints associated with an occurrence of `ProcRTL` (described by an RT-LOTOS process) we apply an RT-LOTOS process called `sync_<name_of_the_node>`. Consequently, each occurrence of synchronization points associated with an occurrence of `ProcRTL` is translated into an RT-LOTOS behavior expression declared in process `sync`.

For instance, consider Figure 18 which describes the translation of a synchronization point into an expression behavior of RT-LOTOS declared in a `sync_` process. Furthermore, some composition rules were also defined in order to express the synchronization among these expressions [Sampaio2003]. A global view of the resultant RT-LOTOS specification for the previous example is illustrated in Figure 19.
The approach presented for the verification of temporal consistency of an Interactive Multimedia Document (IMD) was initially introduced in [Courtiat96]. Based on the derived RT-LOTOS specification, we can proceed with the formal verification of the consistency properties of the document. The basic idea about verifying the temporal consistency is to analyze the reachability of the action characterizing the end of presentation of the document (action end), in a minimal reachability graph generated from the RT-LOTOS specification. The notion of consistency can be described by the following definitions:

**Definition 1:** a path on the reachability graph is consistent if the initial node of this graph leads to the occurrence of the action representing the end of the presentation of the document (represented by transition labeled by the action end).

**Definition 2:** a document is **consistent** if there is at least one consistent path leaving the initial node of the reachability graph associated with this document.

In order to avoid any inconsistency during the scheduling of a presentation, we can apply a correction technique by which all the inconsistent paths generated by the occurrence of these events are removed from the reachability graph. This approach enables the author of a document to express only the temporal constraints which he considers essential (which are known previously), leaving the others as flexible as possible. The possible inconsistencies induced by these flexible constraints will be detected by the formal verification. The technique of correction allows to replace automatically the loose constraints by precise constraints ensuring the global consistency for the presentation of the document (of course, if there is a valid scheduling solution, which is guaranteed by the existence of least one consistent path on the reachability graph).

For instance, consider the reachability graph illustrated in Figure 20(a) which is related to the scenario presented in Figure 3. In this case, the presentation of the document can be considered consistent because some paths of this graph lead to the occurrence of the action end characterizing the end of presentation of the document. In this case, there are also inconsistent paths which occur since the duration of presentation of Video exceeds the global duration of the presentation of the document not respecting its synchronization constraints.

We can carry out the scheduling of this document by using the technique of correction. Consequently, that will enable...
the consistent presentation of the document, by controlling in particular the presentation duration of Video so that its synchronization constraints previously defined by the author of the document can be respected.

5 Scheduling and Presentation of Interactive Multimedia Documents

The scheduling of an IMD can be carried out based on the results of the verification of the temporal consistency during the generation of a scheduling graph, detailed in [Lohr2002]. The scheduling graph describes an operational specification of all the valid (consistent) executions for an Interactive Multimedia Document. The scheduling graph applied by our approach is called Time Labelled Scheduling Automaton (TLSA). The TLSA associated with the scenario of Figure 3 is presented in Figure 20(b).

Figure 20. Reachability graph and TLSA associated with the previous scenario

The TLSA was proposed as a scheduling graph which allows the temporal formatting (the definition of the valid temporal intervals for the presentation) of the document. The scheduling of the document can be carried out based on timers which measure the time the system "spent" in each state of the automaton and which is also used to determine the triggering condition of a transition. Moreover, each transition of the TLSA is associated with the actions
that can be managed by the presentation system such as starting or stopping a presentation, to announce an occurrence of a user interaction, etc. Thus, some scheduling policies were defined in order to allow the orchestration of the document based on the TLSA. These scheduling policies are presented in detail in [Santos2000, Sampaio2001b, Sampaio2003].

A prototype for the presentation of interactive multimedia documents was implemented based on these scheduling policies. The TLSA Player is based on the TLSA and on the contextual information for supporting the presentation of complex and consistent IMDs. The TLSA Player was implemented using JAVA (jdk 1.2) and JMF 2.0. Figure 22 illustrates a snapshot of the TLSA Player.

![Snapshot of the TLSA Player](image)

Figure 21. Snapshot of the TLSA Player

### 6 Related Works

The design of Interactive Multimedia Documents (IMDs) has been addressed in the literature by different concepts and approaches to deal with issues such as modeling the temporal structure, and further consistency checking and scheduling. A current solution consists in using a temporal graph (such as a directed acyclic graph) and specific algorithms (such as shortest path solution, or based on linear programming) to determine the valid solutions for the presentation of a document. Further approaches can also be based on: timeline (GRiNS [GRiNSComposer]), linear programming (Firefly [Buchanan95]), constraint graphs (CHIMP [Candan96], MADEUS [Jourdan97], ISIS [Song99], Tiempo [Wirag99]), IMAP [Vazirgiannis98], Hyperprop [Rodriguez97], MAVA [Hauser99]), transition systems (TOCPN [Yoon98], Dynamique Extended Finit State Machines - DEFSMs [Huang99]), partial order associated with linear programming and constraint graphs [Rivière2002], and state of the components of document (FLIPS [Schnepf96]).

This paper is related to a methodology for the formal design of Interactive Multimedia Documents. This methodology is based on a transition system derived from the RT-LOTOS specification which allows for further formal consistency checking and scheduling of the document. Furthermore, this methodology also provides the presentation of complex and consistent IMDs and the management of the temporal non-determinism of the document during the presentation.

### 7 Conclusion and Future Works

The methodology presented in this paper is related to the formal design of Interactive Multimedia Documents (IMDs) based on the formal description technique RT-LOTOS. The main advantages of this methodology are (i) that it is not dependent upon a particular high level authoring model and (ii) that the formal description technique RT-LOTOS is used implicitly for the author of the document (what makes the approach more accessible and intuitive). Thus, the author designs his document using the high level language (or the paradigm) of his preference, and then the temporal and logical behavior of his document is translated into an RT-LOTOS specification. The compositional characteristic of the RT-LOTOS specifications enables to model complex temporal scenarios by the successive composition of simpler behaviors. Since RT-LOTOS has a formal semantics, it is also possible to carry out the verification of properties of temporal consistency, and to carry out the scheduling of the document based on a timed automata model (TLSA) derived from the subjacent RT-LOTOS specification. To illustrate the utilization of this methodology, we adopted the language SMIL 2.0 for the modeling of complex Interactive Multimedia Documents.

An approach for the translation of a high-level language must take into account the semantics of a document concerning its components and its synchronization relations. The approach that we presented in this paper supports
the definition of intermediate structures for the representation of the semantics of a document. This approach was defined into two main phases according to the need to describe the structures related to the high level model (in the case of SMIL 2.0), and the structures related to the RT-LOTOS specification. Furthermore, it was necessary to define a suitable RT-LOTOS specification style in order to model the hierarchical composition of the components of the document, its synchronization relations, and the internal mechanisms of navigation inside a document.

As for future works, two major issues can be considered: (a) the implementation of an automatic translator of SMIL 2.0 into RT-LOTOS specifications based on the approach presented in this paper, and (b) the modeling and implementation of solutions for the verification feedback for the author of a document. In particular, this tool allows for the integration of the edition and verification phases enabling an author to automatically detect the potential inconsistencies of his document, and to correct it, if possible.
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1 An indefinite duration characterizes the presentation of an object inside the interval [0, +\(\infty\)]
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